

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,451	12/09/2004	Ryuzo Ueno	1691-0205PUS1	5585	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/04/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	IINER	
PO BOX 747		Kell	LAU, JONATHAN S		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Applicant(s)

Application No.

Interview Summary	10/517,451	UENO ET AL.					
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan S. Lau	1623					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Jonathan S. Lau</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Eugene Perez</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description: <u>n/a</u> .							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Representative confirmed that no response had be filed to Final Office</u> Action mailed 14 Apr 2008.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGEN INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				
	h .						